result in a discriminatory working environment.⁷ Therefore, the Commission will presume that such a rule violates title VII and will closely scrutinize it.

- (b) When applied only at certain times. An employer may have a rule requiring that employees speak only in English at certain times where the employer can show that the rule is justified by business necessity.
- (c) Notice of the rule. It is common for individuals whose primary language is not English to inadvertently change from speaking English to speaking their primary language. Therefore, if an employer believes it has a business necessity for a speak-English-only rule at certain times, the employer should inform its employees of the general circumstances when speaking only in English is required and of the consequences of violating the rule. If an employer fails to effectively notify its employees of the rule and makes an adverse employment decision against an individual based on a violation of the rule, the Commission will consider the employer's application of the rule as evidence of discrimination on the basis of national origin.

§1606.8 Harassment.

- (a) The Commission has consistently held that harassment on the basis of national origin is a violation of title VII. An employer has an affirmative duty to maintain a working environment free of harassment on the basis of national origin.⁸
- (b) Ethnic slurs and other verbal or physical conduct relating to an individual's national origin constitute harassment when this conduct:
- (1) Has the purpose or effect of creating an intimidating, hostile or offensive working environment;

⁷See CD 71-446 (1970), CCH EEOC Decisions ¶6173, 2 FEP Cases, 1127; CD 72-0281 (1971), CCH EEOC Decisions ¶6293.

*See CD CL68-12-431 EU (1969), CCH EEOC Decisions (6085, 2 FEP Cases 295; CD 72-0621 (1971), CCH EEOC Decisions (6311, 4 FEP Cases 312; CD 72-1561 (1972), CCH EEOC Decisions (6354, 4 FEP Cases 852; CD 74-05 (1973), CCH EEOC Decisions (6387, 6 FEP Cases 834; CD 76-41 (1975), CCH EEOC Decisions (6632. See also, Amendment to Guidelines on Discrimination Because of Sex, §1604.11(a) n. 1, 45 FR 7476 sy 74677 (November 10, 1980).

- (2) Has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual's work performance; or
- (3) Otherwise adversely affects an individual's employment opportunities.
- (c) An employer is responsible for its acts and those of its agents and supervisory employees with respect to harassment on the basis of national origin regardless of whether the specific acts complained of were authorized or even forbidden by the employer and regardless of whether the employer knew or should have known of their occurrence. The Commission will examine the circumstances of the particular employment relationship and the job functions performed by the individual in determining whether an individual acts in either a supervisory or agency capacity
- (d) With respect to conduct between fellow employees, an employer is responsible for acts of harassment in the workplace on the basis of national origin, where the employer, its agents or supervisory employees, knows or should have known of the conduct, unless the employer can show that it took immediate and appropriate corrective action.
- (e) An employer may also be responsible for the acts of non-employees with respect to harassment of employees in the workplace on the basis of national origin, where the employer, its agents or supervisory employees, knows or should have known of the conduct and fails to take immediate and appropriate corrective action. In reviewing these cases, the Commission will consider the extent of the employer's control and any other legal responsibility which the employer may have with respect to the conduct of such non-employees.

PART 1607—UNIFORM GUIDELINES ON EMPLOYEE SELECTION PRO-CEDURES (1978)

COMPREHENSIVE TABLE OF CONTENTS

GENERAL PRINCIPLES

1607.1. Statement of purpose

- A. Need for uniformity—issuing agencies
- B. Purpose of guidelines
- C. Relation to prior guidelines